

TEACHER AND PRINCIPAL PRACTICE RUBRIC PROVIDERS TECHNICAL PROPOSAL – RUBRIC DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION

Rubric Design and Implementation (nformational-Only):

In this section, the applicant should present denote that their submittle practice rubric has a demonstrated record of effectiveness in countring to teacher and/or principal achievement.

- 1. Describe and detail any empirical or Clearly labeled tables or graphs depicting this improvement statistical evidence of demonstrated should be submitted as appendices. professional achievement for teachers and/or principals over time as result of provider services.
 - ers and/or principals over time as result of provider services.

 aThe Framework for Teaching itself has undergone several validation studies at various points in time. Initially validated by Educational Training Services, other studies conducted on the Framework for Teaching as an evaluation tool include:
 - 1. Evaluation scores as a result of the Framework were stronger predictors of student achievement than were teacher education and experience (Kimball, White, Milanowski, and Borman, 2004).
 - 2. A multi-year study was conducted through the Excellence in Teaching Project in the Chicago Public Schools, which measured the reliability and validity of the Framework in measuring teaching practice and principal and teacher perceptions of the pilot evaluation. Researchers found that (1) principals and trained evaluators used the rating scale consistently overall, (2) more teachers were identified as low performing under the new evaluation system, (3) principals could easily identify unsatisfactory teaching practices, and (4) over half of principals were highly enthusiastic about the evaluation process (Sartain, Stoelinga, Brown, Luppescu, and Matsko, 2009).
 - 3. A correlation study to compare student achievement with teachers' evaluation scores in Cincinnati Public Schools (246 teachers total) found that teachers who received "Distinguished" ratings in Domain 3 (Instruction) had students with higher-than-expected test scores, and those who had received "proficient" ratings had students with average gains (Holtzapple, 2003).
 - 4. A multi-year, mixed-methods study was conducted in Cincinnati, OH; Los Angeles, CA; Reno/Sparks, NV; and Coventry, RI, to analyze the validity of teacher evaluation. The study found a fairly high correlation

ers or principals(i.e. measures ar	ndC):	
analyses used, comparison grou		
etc.)?	(1) For the Evaluation of the Excellence in Teaching	
	Pilot project Year 1 report, the study implemented an experimental research designThe study benefits from a	
	two-level stratified selection plan. At the first level,	
	schools were randomly selectetor participation in the Excellence in Teaching piloin the 2008-09 school year.	
	The pilot was randomly implemented in four elementary	
	T*co.0.0l	n v imple
	1 00:0:0	. ,

6. What is the instructional content, methodology, and format of any proposed evaluator training that your organization may be able to dffer participating LEAs?

Please note: providers are not obligated to provide training nor are districts obligated to buy training from providers.

7. Describe and detail the projected costs associated with the adoption of your teacher or principal rubric evaluation tool, which would include the projected cost(s) for the adoption of the practice rubric and any supplemental costs involved (i.e. training/ instruction, implementation costs, materials, etc.).

The initial face-to-face training, provided by members of The Danielson Group, will help the district's evaluators gain a baseline evel of knowledge and understanding with regard to the district's teacher evaluation system. This face-to-face training session can be augmented by online professional development resources that enable evaluates to focus deeply on the elements of the Framework for Teaching and render valid and reliable judgments. Online training resources are made available on an ongoing basis so that evaluators will have acces to just-in-time learning opportunities.

Describe and detail the projected Districts can implement the Framework for Teaching costs associated with the adoption itself at a very low cost. LEAs may purchase Ms. of your teacher or principal rubric Danielson's books describing the Framework for evaluation tool, which would include the projected cost(s) for the implementation, for a nominal price.

and any supplemental costs volved (i.e. training/ instruction, implementation costs, materials, implementation costs, materials, implementation costs, materials, supplement and support districts' implementation of the Framework for Teaching. These include face-to-face training services; online training featuring videos of classroom examplars aligned to the Framework for Teaching, and an online certification test for evaluators that districts can implement to ensure they have proficient evaluators making valid and reliable judgments. Please see thenclosed sealed envelope entitled "Estimated Service Costs" for general pricing information related to these services.

Teachscape has a long history of working with districts to implement the Framework for Teaching and provide both face-to-face and online professional development for teachers and evaluators. We are happy to discuss the local eeds of each LEA and tailor services and tools to metault0001 Tconline profession7

development for teachers and evaluato happy to discuss the local **e**eds of each services and tools to me**ta**ult0001 Tcor





TEACHER AND PRINCIPAL PRACTICE RUBRIC PROVIDERS TECHNICAL PROPOSAL - ORGANIZATIONAL CAPACITY

Organizational Capacity (Informational-Only):

In this section, the applicanhosuld demonstrate that it has added human, organizational, and technical resources to provide the proposed teamler principal prace rubric services.

1. A description of the organization. Teach scape is a school effectiveness company that was including information such as founded in 1999 as Educational Standards and length of time in operation, num- Certifications, Inc. and has been providing researchber of existing locations, number based, professional development, resources and of staff, an organization chart, etc. coaching support to teachers and leaders for twelve years.

> Teachscape works with stoool districts and state departments of education across the nation to provide focused and targeted support. Our work with school districts includes providing workshops, programs of study, and extended jobembedded professional learning and coaching for school leaders and teachers to help them rapidly improve student achievement while supporting that human capital development with technology tools and processes to synchronize instruction, curriculum, assessment, and professional learning. With 142 permanent staff and a network of over 100 contractor consultants, Teachscape's headquarters is located in San Francisco, and field staff and consultants are located throughout the U.S.

Please refer to the organizational chart attached to this application.

number of students served, etc.

2. A description of the organization's Teachscape provides professional services and history of providing similar teach- technology tools to over 5,000 school districts across er and/or principal evaluation ser- the U.S. Canada, and Austria. Our staff is currently vices, including the outcomes providing teacher evaluation consulting and achieved, number of previous con-facilitation services in schooldistricts in Texas as well tracts, the diversity of clients, the as for the Kentucky Department of Education.

> Charlotte Danielson and the Danielson Group provide training in the Framework for Teaching, and have served as consultants to hundreds of districts. universities, intermediate agencies, and state departments of education in virtually every state (including Ohio, Illinois, Maryland, Florida, Arkansas, Wisconsin, and Oregon), and in several other countries.

> In her consulting work, Ms. Danielson has specialized

	in aspects of teacher quality and evaluation, curriculum planning, performance assessment, and professional development. Ms. Danielson has consulted and developed taining materials with ASCD, the College Board, Educational Testing Service, the California Commission on Teacher Credentialing, and the National Board for Professional Teaching Standards.
Copies of the organization's tarefurns for the past two years, other evidence of fiscal soundne e.g. annual financial statemen fiscal audits, Dunn & Bradstree reports, etc., submitted as Appedices.	ss, ts, et
Copy of the organization's 501(c) certificate or State license.	Please clearly identify and attach this documentation in the Appendix section.
have been filed against the orga	a-
Information as to whether the organization has been denied to ability to conduct business in an attack and indicate the reason for such denial.	ny
Information as to whether the oganization has been debarred	
	returns for the past two years, other evidence of fiscal soundne e.g. annual financial statemen fiscal audits, Dunn & Bradstree reports, etc., submitted as Appedices. Copy of the organization's 501(c) certificate or State license. Information as to whether lawsui have been filed against the orga zation for educational and/or fisc mismanagement, civil rights violations, criminal act(s), or other reson(s); and indicate the outcor of each instance. Information as to whether the organization has been denied to ability to conduct business in an state and indicate the reason for such denial. Information as to whether the organization has been denied to ability to conduct business in an state and indicate the reason for such denial.

_		