## BUFFALO PUBLIC SCHOOLS & ACTION PLAN STATUS UPDATE & January 7, 2013

eliverable Area	Specific Deliverable	Delivery Date/Status	Project Manager <sup>1</sup>	Evidence of Success	Status Update as of January 30, 2013	Distinguished Educator Comments
1 Sovernance	a. Conduct an FTE run of schools by position to compare staffing to student enrollment.	October 1, 2012  Appendices A and B (FTE and CA Staffing)	D. Brown	schools and will adjust FTE accordingly. There will be	consistent staffing allocation decisions are being mad a manner that does not disrupt the climate and opera within schools. For example, differentiated allotments have been provided for ESL teachers and SAMs. Als the Office of School Performance has been re-structu to provide more individualized school-based support through three Community Superintendents and Acade Support Teams.	discussions around revisions of budget assumptions equitable staffing formulae. The data run reveals excessive/ additional FTEs across most schools, including Priority Schools, while performance at thos schools remain relatively flat. Budget discussions an allocations are underway for this SpringÕs budget cy
	b. Create school- based budgets based on per pupil expenditure for Title I and III.	March 15, 2013  Appendices C, D, E, F, G, and H	B. Smith	needs.  a. Provision of professional development on the conference of data to inform planning D Dec. 21, 2012 and ongoing  b. Provision of professional development on the budget process D Feb. 28, 2013  c. Development and articulation of district-wide system of focused/earned autonomy for decisionaking D March 15, 2013  d. Provision of preliminary budget allocation to each Priority School, based on per pupil	sharing of the findings of strategic reviews focused or finance and curriculum and instruction that were conducted by external consultants last spring. A list of strategic priorities will be developed by the end of February 2013, which will be used to inform the 2013 budgeting process. The strategic plan will be comple in the spring of 2013.  Principals will be able to exercise greater autonomy in the use of their budgets with regard to school persons services and materials in preparation for the 2013-14 school year. In the meantime, through the use of Title IIIa and III and other grant and O&M funds, Priority Schools are being provided with differentiated resour for parent involvement/engagement, extended day	It is unclear what the plan or conversation is to addre the SIG schools that will no longer be funded next ye (e.g. South Park).  The attachments to support (b), while informative, do directly support professional development (PD) relate supporting principals on the creation of school-based budgets. However, to ensure that principals are ablemake the best decisions for the use of resources, PE efforts have been made to familiarize principals with resources to access and use relevant data to inform planning (Appendix C). Attendance is not required.  Other Appendices (D, E, F, G) reference differentiate personnel support, parent engagement funding, and school program funding which Priority School princip could use to target their schools Oneeds.  It is unclear what the outcomes and expectations of the PD listed are (Appendix C). As submitted, the Attachment is a calendar and matrix of title of PD offerings. These PD offerings are provided. Attenda

training and oversigh to all central and school personnel to develop data-based	Beginning January 2013 Appendix C	B. Smith	All Central Office and site expenditure decisions will based upon data and a set of parameters that guide t allowed use of funds per federal law.  a. Provision of professional development on the u of data to inform planning Đ Dec. 21, 2012 and ongoing  b. Provision of professional development on the budget process Đ Feb. 28, 2013  c. Priority SchoolsÕ development of proposed budgets based on relevant data Đ April 30, 2014  d. Review and approval of Priority SchoolsÕ budg by the Superintendent or designees Đ May 31, 2013  e. Ongoing review of the use of Priority SchoolsÕ budget to achieve specific targets and ensure regulatory compliance Đ June 30, 2013 and ongoing	
decentralized school- based system of professional development.	March 15, 2013 March-Sept. 2013 Appendix C	F. Wilson	Priority Schools will successfully conduct professiona development, as evidenced by training evaluations. Classroom instruction and student performance will improve as a result.  a. Provision of professional development on the u of data to inform planning Đ Dec. 21, 2012 and ongoing  b. Provision of professional development on the budget process Đ Feb. 28, 2013  c. Establishment and implementation of a system earned autonomy for professional developmen decision-making Đ March 15, 2013 and ongoin	
evaluate the current Targeted Assistance Title I schools (15) to ensure the fidelity of fit to the needs of the students/school.	January 2013 Provided on Dec. 21, 2012	F. Wilson	Schools remaining Targeted Assistance will documen writing how and to whom robust instruction and support are provided. If schools move to a School-wide Program, a written plan will document how funds and services are utilized and monitored.  a. Development of SCEPs by all Priority Schools Dec. 21, 2012  b. Evaluation of suitability of Targeted Assistan	

	,		1			
					<ul> <li>Syracuse City School District has school-w</li> <li>Title I assignments; no Targeted Assistance assignments</li> </ul>	
2 ganization	a. Establish monthly ÔPrincipal Meetings of for Priority School principals to build skills and capacity to use data to drive instructional decisions.	2012	F. Wilson		Regular ÓPrincipal MeetingsÓ were launched on Aug 28, 2012, with a two-day Leadership Conference. Th schedule for monthly ÓPrincipal MeetingsÓ was distributed to all principals in September 2012. The Superintendent meets with Priority School principals a least monthly.	
	b. Establish a year long calendar of Principal Meetings and opportunities for schools to break out by level (elementary, middle, high school).		F. Wilson	Principals will have networks of supports and collaboration among and between colleagues. Principal will reportebor beme pvedse f. by levPS, aape pl por bd tr		
		Appendices I-N				

c. Review and analyze the impact of Criterion-based administrative policies on student enrollment in Priority			

3 rticulation/ Coord	a. Define, in writing, the autonomy and decision making authority of Priority School principals.	Nov. 1, 2012 P. Brown Appendices	outcomes. Student outcomes will improve as a result (NOTE: Currently school-based budgets do not exist The ability to impact and change current instruction a support structures is limited for SY2012-13.)  a. Increased accessibility to relevant data to infor decision making (e.g. Data Dashboard) Đ Oct. 2012  b. Clear oral and written articulation of policies, guidelines, and performance standards for the of principal Đ November 1, 2012 and ongoing	Framework, core instructional materials, weekly Race the Top and Community Superintendents Newsletters and Professional Development Plan and opportunities. This past summer, all principals received written procedures for utilizing their budgets and selecting candidates to fill their personnel vacancies. In early October 2012, they received additional written information on the process to select personnel for the	
		C, E, F, L, M and P	budget process Đ Feb. 28, 2013 e. Establishment and implementation of a system earned autonomy for professional developmen	Priority School principals have been provided with school-based budgets for parent engagement, and a variety of PLOs in prioritized areas (for themselves are their staff members). Within the parameters set by federal and state law and regulations, Board of Educations and state law and regulations.	

b. Implement a system to monitor, pla monthly basis, the service and support provided by the Office of School Performance to Priority Schools.	October 19, 2012 Appendices K, T, U, and V	P. Brown	Priority Schools will report and show evidence of impart of support provided by the Community Superintender and respective staff. Result will be improved student outcomes across multiple measures (e.g., suspensior attendance, achievement, referrals to special educati student transfers, discipline referrals, support services parent participation).  a. SuperintendentÕs weekly review meetings with the Community Superintendents and CAO Đ S 2012 and ongoing b. Training in use of Observation Tracker for Community Superintendents Đ Oct. 2012 c. Monthly monitoring of student outcomes Đ Oct 2012 and ongoing d. SuperintendentÕs regular review of School	
c. Provide training & coaching to develop the skill set of the Central Leadership team to include use data to drive instructional decision-making and support.	& on-going	F. Wilson M. Boorady C. Harrington D. Mauricio		

## BUFFALO PUBLIC SCHOOLS & ACTION PLAN STATUS UPDATE & January 7, 2013

		c. Launch of a more comprehensive Data Dashboard Đ Oct. 19, 2012	
b. Provide training for Priority School principals and site leadership teams on the data dashboard.	Nov. 16, 2012	F. Wils scn B	
	Appendices C, L, M, X, and Y		
c. Provide on-going training on the use of data from the dashboard to drive instruction, planning, and delivery of services to students.	f		
1 000110			
d. Provide a document that outlines the procedures, logistics and expectations of the new benchmark			

## BUFFALO PUBLIC SCHOOLS Ð ACTION PLAN STATUS UPDATE Ð January 7, 2013

assessments. Appen	benchmark assessments Đ Oct b. Development of an assessment including formative assessment 2012 c. Distribution of written procedure for new formative assessments d. Development and administratio formative assessments Đ Jan. e. Use of formative assessment re	nt calendar, its D Nov-Dec. res and logistics s D Jan. 15, 201 on of the new 2013	



## BUFFALO PUBLIC SCHOOLS & ACTION PLAN STATUS UPDATE & January 7, 2013

use and impact of NOVA net in Priority Schools for students in need of credit recoupment and acceleration.	Appendices O, Z and EE		
	See copy of audit report		
f. Evaluate the impact of the current special education service delivery model of coteaching and its impact on students	Nov. 1, 2012		
impact on students with disabilities performance outcomes.	Appendices O, II, JJ, and KK		
g. Evaluate the current alternative education model, including site-based instructional delivery and staffing for suspended students.	Dec. 1, 2012 Feb. 15, 2013		

